

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING | DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKE | T NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------|--|--|
| 10/809,072 | 03/25/2004 | | Steven Joel Bullied | EH-11132 | 6990 | | |
| 30188 7 | 590 | 05/25/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| PRATT & W | | | LIN, KUANG Y | | | | |
| 400 MAIN ST MAIL STOP: | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| EAST HARTF | ORD, CT | 06108 | | . 1725 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | W | | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | m | | | | | |
| | 10/809,072 | BULLIED ET AL. | . | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Kuang Y. Lin | 1725 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence addres | ss | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | VIC CET TO EVOIDE AL | AONTHIC FROM | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | unication. | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u></u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi | is action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa | <u> </u> | • • | erits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application | n. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| · _ | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc | ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | · · | • • • | ` ' | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attache | ed Office Action or form PTO- | 152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document | | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen | | Application No | | | | | | |
| 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price | | · · · | ge | | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | t of the certified copies not | t received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | - | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/25/04</u>. | | (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 | 2) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/809,072 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

The drawing is objected to in that the claimed features of claims 13, 14, 31 and
 are not shown. Correction is required. Applicant is cautious not to introduce new matter during an amendment.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 13, 14, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

There is no disclosure in the specification as what kind of structural arrangement is adapted for casting two single crystal casting components from a single crystal seed.

4. Claims 13, 14, 21, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what kind of structure arrangement for claims 13, 14, 31 and 32. In claim 21, "3600°" shall be "360°".

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,072 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 6. Claims 1, 2, 15, 25, 29, 30 and are 34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by applicant's admitted prior art as set forth in page 2 of the specification.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 15-17, 24-26, 29, 30, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by either Monte et al. (US 5,062,468 or 5,062,469) or Jeyarajan et al. (US 4,940,073).
- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1725

10. Claims 3-14, 16-24, 26-28, 31-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art as set forth in page 2 of the specification.

It would have been obvious to obtain the optimal structural parameters through routine experimentation. It is known to cast a plurality of components through a single crystal seed (see, for example, US 5,916,384 to Das and US 5,899,257 to Alleweireldt et al.)

11. Claims 4-14, 18-23, 27, 28 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Monte et al. (US 5,062,468 or 5,062,469) or Jeyarajan et al. (US 4,940,073).

It would have been obvious to obtain the optimal structural parameters through routine experimentation. It is known to cast a plurality of components through a single crystal seed (see, for example, US 5,916,384 to Das and US 5,899,257 to Alleweireldt et al.)

12. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,180,119 to Burd et al. and further in view of either applicant's admitted prior art as set forth in page 2 of the specification, Monte et al. (US 5,062,468 or 5,062,469) or Jeyarajan et al. (US 4,940,073).

Burd et al. substantially show the invention as claimed except that they do not provide a single seed crystal for growing single crystal article. However, each of the secondary references teaches to use both a single crystal seed and a non-liner tubular grain selector in an investment mold to ensure that a predetermined

crystal structure is obtained in the final cast component. It would have been obvious to further provide the system of Burd et al. with a single crystal seed in the starter cavity for growing single crystal components in view of the secondary references. It would have been obvious to obtain the optimal structural parameters through routine experimentation. It is known to cast a plurality of components through a single crystal seed (see, for example, US 5,916,384 to Das and US 5,899,257 to Alleweireldt et al.)

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas X. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1725

5/19/05